1

## **CLAIMS**

What is claimed is:

| 1 | 1. A method of correcting for a tilt in a mammogram, the method                |
|---|--|
| 2 | comprising:  |
| 3 | classifying pixels as either likely fat or likely not-fat;                     |
| 4 | identifying a candidate tilt; and  |
| 5 | calculating a histogram of the likely fat and the likely not-fat pixels at the |
| 6 | candidate tilt; and  |
| 7 | evaluating a quality of the candidate tilt based on features of the            |
| 8 | histograms of pixel values in the fat and the dense tissue classes.            |

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein evaluating the quality of the candidate tilt based on the features of the histograms comprises:
- evaluating a quality of the candidate tilt based on a skew and variance ofthe histograms.
- The method of claim 2, wherein evaluating the quality of the candidate tilt comprises calculating a weighted Mahalanobis distance between the likely fat and the likely not-fat distributions to determine a quality of the candidate tilt.
- 1 4. The method of claim 3, wherein evaluating the quality of the 2 candidate tilt further comprises giving more weight to the variance of the fat 3 distribution in the weighted Mahalanobis distance calculation.

- 1 5. The method of claim 3, wherein evaluating the quality of the
- 2 candidate tilt further comprises using the skewness of the fat histogram to give a
- 3 preference to distributions that are not skewed to the negative side.
- 1 6. The method of claim 3, wherein evaluating the quality of the
- 2 candidate tilt further comprises adjusting the result to favor smaller tilts.
- 7. The method of claim 3, wherein evaluating the quality of the candidate tilt comprises applying the equation:

3 
$$D(p_x, p_y) = \frac{m_d - m_f}{\sqrt{(0.7 \operatorname{var}_f + 0.3 \operatorname{var}_d)}} + 0.5 \inf(0, skew_f) - 0.1 |p_x| - 0.1 |p_y|$$

- 1 8. The method of claim 1, wherein identifying the candidate tilt
- 2 comprises identifying a first set of candidate tilts, based on an expected tilt
- 3 distribution.
- 1 9. The method of claim 8, further comprising identifying a subsequent
- 2 set of candidate tilts, based on the best tilt identified in a previous iteration, to
- 3 provide more accurate tilt.
- 1 10. The method of claim 1, wherein classifying pixels as either likely fat
- 2 or likely not-fat comprises:
- 3 generating a smoothed image;
- 4 subtracting the smoothed image from an original image; and
- 5 determining whether pixels are convex to classify the pixels as likely not-
- 6 fat, or concave to classify the pixels as likely fat.

4

| 1 | 11. The method of claim 10, wherein the pixels are classified                       |
|---|---|
| 2 | individually.   |
|   |   |
| 1 | 12. An apparatus to correct a tilt in a mammogram comprising:                       |
| 2 | a dense/fat classifier to classify pixels as either likely fat or likely not-fat;   |
| 3 | a candidate tilt identifier to identify a candidate tilt; and                       |
| 4 | a histogram creator to calculate a histogram of the likely fat and the likely       |
| 5 | not-fat pixels at the candidate tilt; and   |
| 6 | a tilt quality evaluator to evaluate a quality of the candidate tilt based on       |
| 7 | features of the histograms of pixel values in the fat and the dense tissue classes. |
|   |   |
| 1 | 13. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the tilt quality evaluator uses a            |
| 2 | skew and variance of the histograms to evaluate the tilt quality.                   |
|   |   |
| 1 | 14. The apparatus of claim 13, wherein the tilt quality evaluator further           |
| 2 | comprises a Mahalanobis calculator to calculate a weighted Mahalanobis              |
| 3 | distance between the likely fat and the likely not-fat distributions to determine a |

- 1 15. The apparatus of claim 14, wherein the tilt quality evaluator further comprises a quality calculator to give more weight to the variance of the fat
- 3 distribution in the weighted Mahalanobis distance calculation.

quality of the candidate tilt.

3

- 1 16. The apparatus of claim 14, wherein the tilt quality evaluator further
- 2 comprises a quality calculator to use the skewness of the fat histogram to give a
- 3 preference to distributions that are not skewed to the negative side.
- 17. The apparatus of claim 14, wherein the tilt quality evaluator further
- 2 comprises a quality calculator to adjust the result to favor smaller tilts.
- 1 18. The apparatus of claim 14, the tilt quality evaluator evaluates the 2 tilt quality using the following equation:

$$D(p_x, p_y) = \frac{m_d - m_f}{\sqrt{(0.7 \text{ var}_f + 0.3 \text{ var}_d)}} + 0.5 \inf(0, skew_f) - 0.1 |p_x| - 0.1 |p_y|$$

- 1 19. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the candidate tilt identifier to
- 2 identify a first set of candidate tilts, based on an expected tilt distribution.
- 1 20. The apparatus of claim 19, the candidate tilt identifier further to
- 2 identify a subsequent set of candidate tilts, based on the best tilt identified in a
- 3 previous iteration, to provide more accurate tilt.
- 1 21. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the dense/fat classifier
- 2 comprises:
- 3 a smooth image generator to generate a smoothed image;
- 4 a delta calculator to subtract the smoothed image from an original image;
- 5 and
- 6 a pixel classifier to determine whether pixels are convex to classify the
- 7 pixels as likely not-fat, or concave to classify the pixels as likely fat.

| [czb  |
|---|
| [ ]<br>[ ]  |
| 5=3<br>5=3  |
| Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Service<br>Servic |
| is to   |
| is ka<br>ka   |
| 1,2,3   |
| 18 mg   |
|   |
| 涯   |
| . "   |
| #   |
| ill sales   |
| And H H H H H H H   |
| The profession of the state of   |
| And H H H H H H H   |

22.

1

| 2  | individually.   |
|----|---|
| 1  | 23. An apparatus comprising:  |
|    |   |
| 2  | an image receiver to receive a digitized mammogram image, the digitized             |
| 3  | mammogram image representing a mammogram taken with non-parallel                    |
| 4  | imaging plates;   |
| 5  | a tilt corrector to evaluate a plurality of candidate tilts designed to correct     |
| 6  | for the non-parallel imaging plates;  |
| 7  | an image adjustor to adjust the digitized mammogram image in                        |
| 8  | accordance with a best candidate tilt; and  |
| 9  | an output mechanism to output the corrected mammogram image, the                    |
| 10 | corrected mammogram image used for computer aided diagnosis to detect               |
| 11 | abnormalities in the corrected mammogram image.                                     |
|    |   |
| 1  | 24. The apparatus of claim 23, wherein the tilt corrector comprises:                |
| 2  | a dense/fat classifier to classify pixels as either likely fat or likely not-fat;   |
| 3  | a candidate tilt identifier to identify a candidate tilt; and                       |
| 4  | a histogram creator to calculate a histogram of the likely fat and the likely       |
| 5  | not-fat pixels at the candidate tilt; and   |
| 6  | a tilt quality evaluator to evaluate a quality of the candidate tilt based on       |
| 7  | features of the histograms of pixel values in the fat and the dense tissue classes. |

The apparatus of claim 21, wherein the pixels are classified

- 1 25. The apparatus of claim 24 wherein the tilt quality evaluator
- 2 evaluates the quality of the candidate tilt based on a skew and variance of the
- 3 histograms.